

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

251

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,581	02/02/2001		Raymond Routhier	15218-1US RM/SC/sm	6248
20988	7590	10/28/2002			
OGILVY RI		=	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1600		EGE AVENUE	TRAN, KHOA H		
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3 CANADA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3634	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				DATE MAILED: 10/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

at the state of th	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,						
Office Action Summans	09/773,581	ROUTHIER, RAYMOND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication con	Khoa Tran	the correspondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 J</u>	uly 2002 .					
	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13 and 15-18</u> is/are pending in						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. With respect to claim 15, the recitation of "discrete" is considered a new matter because the subject of the spacer being "discrete" set forth on line 4 is not in the original specification. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in replying to this Office Action.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 15 appears to be misdescriptive and/or inaccurate because the spacer does not cooperate with the rail engaging member to support the organizer unit in a vertical position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,581

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wing in view of Lee et al. Wing discloses a storage organizer system comprising a horizontal J-shaped rail (15); a pair of spaced apart upright members having hooks (12) mountable to the rail and a spacer (23-26) extending from the rear of the upright that spaces a distance from the rail (15). See Figure 1. Wing does not teach the panel upright member. However, Lee et al. teach the upright panel members (56) having rail engaging members (20) that engage with the horizontal rail (18). See Figures 2 and 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide Wing with the provision of upright panel as taught by Lee et al. in order to form a storage unit between shelves. With respect to claim 8, the rail engaging member of Lee et al. has only one arm (46) extending from the web (40). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make duplication in part to provide the opposite side of the arm with another arm in order to have a pair of arms to take play in securing with the panel thus producing no unexpected results.

Claims 4-6, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wing in view of Lee et al. as applied to claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-13, and 15 above, and further in view of Campbell. Campbell teaches the transversal member (32 or 34) having at least one hook (18, 20, 22) that engages with a rail (24). See Figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide Wing with the transversal members that has at least one

Application/Control Number: 09/773,581

Art Unit: 3634

hook as taught by Campbell in order to enables to have the transversal member within the reach of a handicapped person or children.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Camilleri, Honickman, Whipple, Blass and Casciani are cited to show devices having similar configurations of design.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10-13 and 15-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-3437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola, can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597 or (703) 305-3598.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

If the applicant is submitted by facsimile transmission, applicant is hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and M.P.E.P. 502.02). In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/773,581

Art Unit: 3634

fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check **should not be** submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check. Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (M.P.E.P 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office

Fax No	On				
	(Date)				
Type or printed name of person signing this certificate:					
(Signature)					

Furthermore, please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile

will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Khoa Tran October 18, 2002

> DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Daniel P Stodola